Viatris

2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions
This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.
Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP

.

10/01/2024, 05:29 pm

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☑ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Viatris is a global healthcare company with a mission to empower people worldwide to live healthier at every stage of life, regardless of geography and circumstance. We are headquartered in the U.S., with global centers in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Shanghai, China and Hyderabad, India. Viatris works to create sustainable access to medicine to achieve better patient outcomes and advance global public health. We focus on key sustainability topics, all of which we pursue simultaneously to help drive our mission. These key topics encompass four broad areas: • Reliable Supply and High-Quality Medicine: manufacturing and distribution, including our supply chain and regulatory impact; • Our People: managing talent, engaging employees and promoting workplace health and safety and diversity and inclusion; • Environmental Impact: minimizing environmental impact – from climate change and energy to water and waste management; and • Governance and Ethical Practices: managing inherent risks and encouraging opportunities and business ethics. Viatris works to be a model for sustainable access to medicine at scale and a reliable partner in addressing some of the world's most enduring health challenges. As part of our commitment to advance more sustainable and responsible operations and practices, we have set company-wide sustainability goals in the areas of Access; Diversity, equity and inclusion; and the Environment, specifically in the areas of climate, water and waste. These priority areas and initial goals support our sustained operations and relevance, and our contribution to advancing global sustainable development goals for 2030. With a global workforce of approximately 38,000 in 2023, the company has industry leading commercial, R&D, regulatory, manufacturing, legal and medical expertise complemented by a strong commitment to quality and an unparalleled geographic footprint to deliver high-quality medicines to patients in more than 165 countries and territories. As of December 31, 2023, Viatris' portfolio comprised more than 1,400 approved molecules across 10 key therapeutic areas, including globally recognized iconic and key brands and generics, including complex products, and the company operated 40 manufacturing sites worldwide that produce oral solid doses, injectables, complex dosage forms and APIs. We served 1 billion patients across 165 countries and territories, reaching 90% of the world low and middle income countries. Viatris is a signatory to the UN Global Compact, we support the global sustainable development goals and believe that companies can be a force for good. Viatris' commitment to sustainability has been recognized by awards and recognitions. In 2023, Viatris was named to national and international lists including: USA Today's inaugural list of America's Climate Leaders, TIME's World's Best Companies, 2023 Top Companies Ireland, Forbes List of World's Best Employers 2023, Great Place to Work certification in India, Capital Magazine's Best Employers in France, HR Asia's Best Companies to Work for in Asia (Taiwan), and Top Employer in the United Kingdom and the United Arab Emirates by the Top Employer Institute. [At the time of this response, Viatris has also been named to TIME's World's Most Sustainable Companies 2024]. For more information regarding sustainability at Viatris view our 2023 Sustainability Report. 2024 is Viatris' eighth reporting year to CDP and includes data for manufacturing, packaging, research and development (R&D), distribution units and large commercial facilities based on direct operational control.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2023

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ 2 years

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ 2 years

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

☑ Not providing past emissions data for Scope 3

[Fixed row]

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US92556V1061

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

92556V106

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

VTRS

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

BN7FJT0

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

254900ZZTSW7NL773X71

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

117542494

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

(1.8.1) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

Select from:

☑ No, this is confidential data

(1.8.2) Comment

Viatris does not publicly share the precise location of our facilities. Should an investor or supplier require this information it can be requested through either our investor relations or procurement teams as appropriate.
[Fixed row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:
☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply
☑ Upstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:
☑ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:
☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Viatris has mapped Tier 1 suppliers of raw materials and other supplies that are vital to our manufacturing process. For certain key materials we have mapped the Tiers 2 suppliers.
[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

	Plastics mapping	Value chain stages covered in mapping
	Select from: ☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain	*Select all that apply* ☑ Upstream value chain

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

3

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

GHG and water related projects delivering immediate benefit are considered low hanging opportunities, these can be planned and implemented quickly with payback periods of 3 years or less. These projects are typically easy to implement with little to no impact on manufacturing operations and are built into either a site's capital or operating budget, depending on the work entailed to implement these projects.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

4

(2.1.3) To (years)

10

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Initiatives that impact operations, require significant financial investment or development typically have financial returns that fall in the medium-term range. These initiatives are evaluated and implemented based on the impact to operations, the environmental benefit and the broader benefit to be received by the organization. This type of initiative typically involves infrastructure improvements that incorporate features improving equipment reliability, minimizing operational and supply chain risk and thereby ensuring business continuity. Medium-term return on investment projects are incorporated into the site's capital and operating plans.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

11

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.1.3) To (years)

30

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Strategic opportunities that have increased complexity, involve changing technologies or altering our business model are evaluated in concert with other long term strategic initiatives. Environmental risks like the phase out of refrigerants, are reviewed and any required changes are incorporate into the life cycle maintenance and/or replacement plans to minimize the disruption to manufacturing operations and site financial budgets. The pharmaceutical industry is highly regulated, therefore changing processes and materials require long term testing and a lengthy regulatory approval process. Long-term planning allows for proper project development and the coordination of activities to ensure business continuity.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both risks and opportunities	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ Every three years or more

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

☑ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

☑ ISO 31000 Risk Management Standard

International methodologies and standards

☑ ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

☑ Life Cycle Assessment

Other

☑ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

☑ Drought

☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

☑ Heat waves

Chronic physical

☑ Heat stress

☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events

☑ Water stress

Policy

☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms

☑ Changes to national legislation

☑ Lack of mature certification and sustainability standards

Market

☑ Changing customer behavior

Reputation

☑ Impact on human health

☑ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

☑ Other reputation, please specify **:**Reputational risk associated with suppliers activities; Viatris Supplier Code of Conduct and the EHS Supplier Operations Program help minimize risk in this area.

Technology

☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

☑ Transition to water intensive, low carbon energy sources

Liability

☑ Exposure to litigation

☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☑ NGOs
- ☑ Customers
- ☑ Employees
- ☑ Investors
- ☑ Suppliers
- ☑ Regulators
- ☑ Local communities

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

As material changes occur within our network the existing climate scenario analysis is evaluated and adjusted. For supplier risk, PSCI supplier assessments that include climate related activities and performance are performed every 5 years.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☑ Dependencies
- ☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ Every three years or more

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

☑ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

☑ WRI Aqueduct

Enterprise Risk Management

☑ ISO 31000 Risk Management Standard

International methodologies and standards

☑ ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

Other

☑ External consultants

☑ Materiality assessment

☑ Scenario analysis

☑ Source Water Vulnerability Assessment

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Drought
☑ Heat waves
☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
☑ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress
☑ Groundwater depletion
☑ Declining water quality
☑ Water quality at a basin/catchment level
☑ Precipitation or hydrological variability
☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events
☑ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
☑ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Policy

☑ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
☑ Changes to national legislation
☑ Introduction of regulatory standards for previously unregulated contaminants
☑ Regulation of discharge quality/volumes
☑ Statutory water withdrawal limits/changes to water allocation

Market

☑ Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

Reputation

☑ Impact on human health
☑ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
☑ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)
☑ Other reputation, please specify :Reputational risk associated with suppliers activities; Viatris Supplier Code of Conduct and the EHS Supplier Operations Program help minimize risk in this area.

Technology

☑ Transition to water efficient and low water intensity technologies and products

Liability

☑ Exposure to litigation

☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ NGOs

☑ Customers

☑ Employees

☑ Investors

☑ Suppliers

☑ Regulators

☑ Local communities

☑ Water utilities at a local level

☑ Other water users at the basin/catchment level

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

As material changes occur within our network the existing climate scenario analysis is evaluated and adjusted this includes evaluating water risk as per the WRI Aqueduct tool. For supplier risk, PSCI and AMR Industry Alliance Manufacturing Standards supplier assessments that include water related activities/performance are performed every 5 years.
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

As part of the water risk assessments conducted in high water stress areas, water quality and water availability are evaluated along with other aspects associated with water risk. The assessment considers internal, external and environmental factors along with the processes and procedures that we have in place to mitigate water risks. The assessment provides an overall picture enabling Viatris to proactively manage water risk. Additionally, we perform AMR (Antimicrobial Resistance) assessments in our direct operations and upstream value chain to ensure the impacts and risks are evaluated, monitored, and mitigated. AMR assessments are performed in accordance with the AMRIA's Antibiotic Manufacturing Standard.
[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:
☑ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply
☑ Direct operations
☑ Upstream value chain

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations
☑ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities
☑ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

We utilize the WRI Aqueduct tool to identify facilities located in extremely high or high water stressed areas. A detailed water risk assessment has or will be conducted for facilities located in extremely high and high water stress areas. Suppliers for key products as well as antibacterial products are also part of an assessment process using PSCI or AMRIA guidelines.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☑ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Routinely assessing the impact to operations, reputation and future business considering the likelihood of climate related and water related risks and impacts to the environment while considering the extent of the impact, likelihood of an event occurring and the time horizon for when we might expect an event to affect our operations.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

As a pharmaceutical company our substantive opportunities are driven by drug development and benefits to human health. Opportunities associated with climate, water and nature related activities stem from the mitigation of the risks that arise in these areas. Similar to our risks, opportunities consider frequency of occurring, time horizon and likelihood of the effect occurring.
[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☑ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

Viatris' Global Water Policy requires operations to meet or exceed applicable regulatory requirements, including the identification & monitoring of regulated water pollutants in discharges. Permitted sites monitor for applicable water pollutants such as Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Suspended Solids (TSS), Dissolved Solids (TDS), pH & other parameters or specific pollutants as applicable & as regulated by the local and/or national authority. We

go beyond compliance by maintaining a Global Environmental Stewardship Policy, Global Water Policy, technical requirements on water management, wastewater management, spills and releases, & pharmaceuticals in the environment, which require sites to characterize & properly handle & dispose of wastewater, including sampling & monitoring plans. The pharmaceuticals in the environment global program, technical requirements & guidance document outline a robust process to identify & classify active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) based on drug classification, persistence, bioaccumulation & ecotoxicity potentials using a set of national & international criteria (of over 10 sources) in order to assign a hazard level based on this qualitative assessment. We conduct quantitative manufacturing effluent risk assessments for certain products & compare the results (Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)) to the Predicted No Effect Concentration (PNEC) to ensure the Risk Quotient (PEC/PNEC) is at a target of
[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:
☑ Inorganic pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Potential inorganic pollutants from the pharmaceutical industry and our facilities may include sulphates, chlorides, various metals (copper, lead, mercury, etc.), nitrogen, acids/bases, buffers, etc. as applicable. The inorganic pollutants have the potential to cause a wide range of impacts depending on the inorganic substance. Certain metals can be carcinogens, certain compounds (acids, bases, etc.) can alter the pH and corrosivity of the environmental waters, nitrogen can impact oxygen levels in waters and cause increase in algae, certain compounds can present toxicity to wildlife and/or human health. Many of the inorganic substances monitored are included in various hazard lists such as various US EPA lists, REACH, etc.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply
☑ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☑ Water recycling
☑ Upgrading of process equipment/methods
☑ Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements
☑ Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances
☑ Provision of best practice instructions on product use
☑ Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems
☑ Requirement for suppliers to comply with regulatory requirements
☑ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
☑ Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements
☑ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

The actions and procedure identify areas of concern within our operations; this guides our efforts to minimize adverse impacts to the environment. We adopted the AMRIA Common Antibiotic Manufacturing Framework expectations and discharge targets & ask antibiotic suppliers to do the same, including quantification of antibiotics in discharge and adherence to the discharge targets - predicted no-effect concentrations (PNECs). Success is determined by maintaining compliance levels and reducing hazardous substances from our waste streams.

Row 3

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:
☑ Other physical pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Other potential physical pollutants from the pharmaceutical industry and our facilities may include color, high/low pH waters, dissolved and suspended solids, temperature, etc. Color and presence of solids can have an impact on light transmission through water impacting photosynthesis by aquatic plants as well as impact oxygen levels and water temperature. High/low pH can alter the pH and corrosivity of the environmental waters. High temperature discharge can impact the temperature of receiving waters, which can impact oxygen levels and harm aquatic life. Most physical pollutants are not included on hazard lists. Success is determined by maintaining compliance levels and reducing hazardous substances from our waste streams.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☑ Water recycling

☑ Upgrading of process equipment/methods

☑ Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements

☑ Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances

☑ Provision of best practice instructions on product use

☑ Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems

☑ Requirement for suppliers to comply with regulatory requirements

☑ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response

☑ Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements

☑ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

The actions and procedure identify areas of concern within our operations; this guides our efforts to minimize adverse impacts to the environment. We adopted the AMRIA Common Antibiotic Manufacturing Framework expectations and discharge targets & ask antibiotic suppliers to do the same, including quantification of antibiotics in discharge and adherence to the discharge targets - predicted no-effect concentrations (PNECs). Success is determined by maintaining compliance levels and reducing hazardous substances from our waste streams.

Row 4

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☑ Other synthetic organic compounds

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) and Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) are amongst the most common indicators of organic matter in wastewater at our facilities. High levels of BOD and COD can decrease the amount of oxygen in the receiving waters and indicate the presence of organic compounds that could cause other potential impacts such as ecotoxicity to aquatic organisms or health impacts in the case of human exposure. Elevated levels of these compounds can also indicate the presence of sewage, which could cause diseases in animals or humans if exposed to this contamination. Active pharmaceutical ingredients are another potential water pollutant that could have various impacts depending on the drug classification. APIs can consist of sex hormones, which could cause reproductive implications for fish or other marine life. The presence of antibiotic APIs could potentially contribute to the rise of antimicrobial resistance. Many organic compounds, such as solvents, are included in hazard lists such as various US EPA lists, REACH, etc.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☑ Water recycling

☑ Resource recovery

☑ Upgrading of process equipment/methods

☑ Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements

☑ Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances

☑ Provision of best practice instructions on product use

☑ Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems

☑ Requirement for suppliers to comply with regulatory requirements

☑ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response

☑ Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements

☑ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

The actions and procedure identify areas of concern within our operations; this guides our efforts to minimize adverse impacts to the environment. We adopted the AMRIA Common Antibiotic Manufacturing Framework expectations and discharge targets & ask antibiotic suppliers to do the same, including quantification of antibiotics in discharge and adherence to the discharge targets - predicted no-effect concentrations (PNECs). Success is determined by maintaining compliance levels and reducing hazardous substances from our waste streams.

Row 5

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Antimicrobial resistant compounds

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Antimicrobial resistant compounds

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☑ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

☑ Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements

☑ Requirement for suppliers to comply with regulatory requirements

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

The actions and procedure identify areas of concern within our operations; this guides our efforts to minimize adverse impacts to the environment. We adopted the AMRIA Common Antibiotic Manufacturing Framework expectations and discharge targets & ask antibiotic suppliers to do the same, including quantification of antibiotics in discharge and adherence to the discharge targets - predicted no-effect concentrations (PNECs). Success is determined by maintaining compliance levels and reducing hazardous substances from our waste streams.
[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

The top two climate risks to the business are cost of energy and the impact of carbon legislation. We have considered the impact of a global carbon tax at 100 per metric ton of carbon emissions. Viatris' approved science-based near-term GHG reduction target to reduce Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by 42% and Scope 3 emissions by 25% by 2030 from a base year of 2020 will mitigate the impact of this type of legislation. Additionally, based on the global political development, with respect to carbon legislation, we do not see this as having a high likelihood of being implemented globally within the next 10 years. Therefore, it does not reach the level of substantive risk at this time. Viatris will continue to take action to achieve our target and keep the trajectory to achieve emissions reductions in line with a 1.5C world.

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Based on the climate scenario analysis and the water risk assessments we have conducted, we have determined that no water related risks present substantive effect to Viatris' business within the next 10 years.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

The primary use of plastics within our operations is for pharmaceutical packaging. Based on the current and pending legislation associated with the use of plastic Viatris has not identified any substantive risks or effect to our business within the next 10 years. We continue to monitor international directives and regulations with respect to plastics to ensure the risks associated with plastics are identified and mitigated.
[Fixed row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: ☑ No	*No fines or enforcement orders were received.*

[Fixed row]

(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.

Canada federal fuel charge

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2023

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2023

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

99.35

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

13319.9

(3.5.3.5) Comment

Carbon tax on natural gas consumed.

France carbon tax

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2023

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2023

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

95.94

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

120524.64

(3.5.3.5) Comment

Carbon tax on natural gas consumed

Ireland carbon tax

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2023

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2023

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

69.48

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

286511.21

(3.5.3.5) Comment

Carbon tax on natural gas consumed.

South Africa carbon tax

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2023

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2023

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

75.14

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

4507.89

(3.5.3.5) Comment

Carbon tax on natural gas consumed
[Fixed row]

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☑ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

As a pharmaceutical company our substantive opportunities are driven by drug development and benefits to human health. Opportunities associated with climate, water and nature related activities stem from the mitigation of the risks that arise in these areas and our ability to help address human health needs and build more resilient healthcare systems. At this time no climate related substantive opportunities have been identified.

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☑ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

As a pharmaceutical company our substantive opportunities are driven by drug development and benefits to human health. Opportunities associated with climate, water and nature related activities stem from the mitigation of the risks that arise in these areas and our ability to help address human health needs and build more resilient healthcare systems. At this time no water related substantive opportunities have been identified.
[Fixed row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Executive directors or equivalent

☑ Non-executive directors or equivalent

☑ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

Viatris' Board Diversity and Inclusion Policy addresses the Boards commitment to fostering a culture of inclusion and seeking supporting valuing and leveraging diversity in its composition among other characteristics that the Board believes serve the best interest of the Company and its stakeholders. The Policy lists examples of the diversity in professional background and experience that it would like reflected in its board and its commitment to developing a board that reflects its stakeholders.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

Board Diversity and Inclusion Policy.pdf
[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

Water

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Our previously completed priority topic assessment did not reveal biodiversity as a salient risk or opportunity for our organization. However, as Viatris recognizes that environmental and human health are connected as well as recognizing that biodiversity is an important aspect of working to reduce environmental impact and advance more environmentally sustainable operations we work systematically to advance material stewardship and waste management.
[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board-level committee

☑ President

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Governance and Sustainability Committee Charter and the Board of Directors – Committee Charter, and while not an actual policy - the 2023 Proxy Statement, p. 7, 9, 27, 30; outlines this.

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
☑ Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis
☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
☑ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
☑ Monitoring supplier compliance with organizational requirements
☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

In 2023 the Viatris President oversaw operations within the company and provided guidance and strategic direction on operational topics including environmental health and safety and climate change. The Global EHS function was integrated across the organization and reported to the Chief Operating Officer COO through vertical leaders. The COO reported to the President. In 2023 the President oversaw the organizations work on climate related scenario analysis assessments and work to progress on SBTi approved and validated GHG reduction targets. The President participated in updates to the applicable board committee and to the full board on CSR issues as warranted. As of Q1 2024 Viatris Executive Management Team and associated governance and reporting lines have been updated. Those changes are not reflected in this submission pertaining to FY 2023. The Viatris Boards' Governance and Sustainability Committee (formerly the Risk Oversight Committee) and Viatris Risk Management. Team meets quarterly and are apprised on applicable EHS issues including climate related issues such as regulatory or compliance activities external and internal reporting requirements and emergency preparedness and response among other topics. Viatris Board of Directors oversees management's efforts with respect to corporate environmental and social responsibility matters through its Governance and Sustainability Committee. The Global Sustainability function operates as a center of excellence within the Corporate Affairs leadership team. The Chief Corporate Affairs Officer (formerly Head of Corporate Affairs) reports directly to the CEO and communicates quarterly with the Viatris Board through the Governance and Sustainability Committee together with the Head of Global Sustainability. On an annual basis the Governance and Sustainability Committee reviews progress with the Chief Corporate Affairs Officer and Head of Global Sustainability on corporate environmental and social responsibility related matters that have been discussed with the Viatris Board to confirm the company is tracking its priorities in this area. In addition, the CEO receives regular updates on corporate environmental and social responsibility matters through reports from the Chief Corporate Affairs Officer as well as the Head of Global Sustainability and other subject matter experts as needed.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board-level committee

☑ President

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Governance and Sustainability Committee Charter and the Board of Directors – Committee Charter, and while not an actual policy - the 2023 Proxy Statement, p. 7, 9, 27, 30; outlines this.

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

☑ Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis

☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes

☑ Monitoring supplier compliance with organizational requirements

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

In 2023 the Viatris President oversaw operations within the company and provided guidance and strategic direction on operational topics including environmental health and safety and climate change. The Global EHS function was integrated across the organization and reported to the Chief Operating Officer COO through vertical leaders. The COO reported to the President. In 2023 the President oversaw the organizations work on climate related scenario analysis assessments and work to progress on SBTi approved and validated GHG reduction targets. The President participated in updates to the applicable board committee and to the full board on CSR issues as warranted. As of Q1 2024 Viatris Executive Management Team and associated governance and reporting lines have been updated. Those changes are not reflected in this submission pertaining to FY 2023. The Viatris Boards' Governance and Sustainability Committee (formerly the Risk Oversight Committee) and Viatris Risk Management. Team meets quarterly and are apprised on applicable EHS issues including climate related issues such as regulatory or compliance activities external and internal reporting requirements and emergency preparedness and response among other topics. Viatris Board of Directors oversees management's efforts with respect to corporate environmental and social responsibility matters through its Governance and Sustainability Committee. The Global Sustainability function operates as a center of excellence within the Corporate Affairs leadership team. The Chief Corporate Affairs Officer (formerly Head of Corporate Affairs) reports directly to the CEO and communicates quarterly with the Viatris Board through the Governance and Sustainability Committee together with the Head of Global Sustainability. On an annual basis the Governance and Sustainability Committee reviews progress with the Chief Corporate Affairs Officer and Head of Global Sustainability on corporate environmental and social responsibility related matters that have been discussed with the Viatris Board to confirm the company is tracking its priorities in this area. In addition, the CEO receives regular updates on corporate environmental and social responsibility matters through reports from the Chief Corporate Affairs Officer as well as the Head of Global Sustainability and other subject matter experts as needed.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply
☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
☑ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process
☑ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

☑ Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

☑ Management-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

Other

☑ Other, please specify **:**Prior experience serving on Sustainability Committees for other companies.

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

☑ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

Water

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

Biodiversity

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Our previously completed priority topic assessment did not reveal biodiversity as a salient risk or opportunity for our organization. However, as Viatris recognizes that environmental and human health are connected as well as recognizing that biodiversity is an important aspect of working to reduce environmental impact and advance more environmentally sustainable operations, we work systematically to advance material stewardship and waste management. As part of this work, we have set companywide targets in reducing GHG emissions across scope 1, 2 and scope 3, water and waste.
[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ President

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

In 2023, the Viatris President oversaw operations within the company and provided guidance and strategic direction on operational topics including environmental, health and safety and climate change. The Board also heard from the President as warranted on other climate-related issues such as regulatory or compliance activities, external and internal expectations and reporting requirements, hurricane preparedness and response, site specific energy projects, etc.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ President

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

In 2023, the Viatris President oversaw operations within the company and provided guidance and strategic direction on operational topics including environmental, health and safety and climate change. The Board also heard from the President as warranted on other climate-related issues such as regulatory or compliance activities, external and internal expectations and reporting requirements, hurricane preparedness and response, site specific energy projects, etc.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

☑ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :President

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

In 2023, the COO reported to the applicable Board committee through the President. In addition, as part of the CSR Program updates provided by the Head of Corporate Affairs (now Chief Corporate Affairs Officer) and Head of Corporate Social Responsibility (now Head of Global Sustainability), the Board's Risk Oversight Committee (now Governance and Sustainability Committee) and Risk Management Team were apprised quarterly on applicable EHS issues including climate and water related issues such as regulatory or compliance activities, external & internal reporting, emergency preparedness & response, among other topics. In 2023, the Global EHS function was integrated across the organization & reported to the COO through vertical leaders on relevant environmental issues and opportunities, including climate change and water. As of Q1, 2024, the Viatris Executive Management Team and associated governance and reporting lines have been updated. Those changes are not reflected in this submission pertaining to FY 2023 and will be reflected in our 2024 submission.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

☑ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**President

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

In 2023, the COO reported to the applicable Board committee through the President. In addition, as part of the CSR Program updates provided by the Head of Corporate Affairs (now Chief Corporate Affairs Officer) and Head of Corporate Social Responsibility (now Head of Global Sustainability), the Board's Risk Oversight Committee (now Governance and Sustainability Committee) and Risk Management Team were apprised quarterly on applicable EHS issues including climate and water related issues such as regulatory or compliance activities, external & internal reporting, emergency preparedness & response, among other topics. In 2023, the Global EHS function was integrated across the organization & reported to the COO through vertical leaders on relevant environmental issues and opportunities, including climate change and water. The COO was instrumental in the development of our goal to perform water risk assessments at locations in high & extremely high water risk areas by 2025, the goal to expand zero liquid discharge sites which significantly reduces water withdrawal and achievement of progress towards these goals. As of Q1, 2024, the Viatris Executive Management Team and associated governance and reporting lines have been updated. Those changes are not reflected in this submission pertaining to FY 2023 and will be reflected in our 2024 submission.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Chief Corporate Affairs Officer is the structural equivalent to Chief Sustainability Officer. The Chief Corporate Affairs Officer reports directly to the CEO and communicates quarterly with the Viatris Board through the Governance and Sustainability Committee together with the Head of Global Sustainability.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Chief Corporate Affairs Officer is the structural equivalent to Chief Sustainability Officer. The Chief Corporate Affairs Officer reports directly to the CEO and communicates quarterly with the Viatris Board through the Governance and Sustainability Committee together with the Head of Global Sustainability.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

☑ Sustainability committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Head of Global Sustainability

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Viatris Board of Directors oversees management's efforts with respect to corporate environmental and social responsibility matters through its Governance and Sustainability Committee. The Global Sustainability function operates as a center of excellence within the Corporate Affairs leadership team. The Chief Corporate Affairs Officer reports directly to the CEO and communicates quarterly with the Viatris Board through the Governance and Sustainability Committee together with the Head of Global Sustainability. The Head of Global Sustainability drives the strategic and operational development of sustainability and corporate social responsibility across the company together with key partners. A multifunctional Advisory Committee comprised of global leaders with a monthly meeting cadence monitors the external landscape and company progress and supports the integration of corporate environmental and social responsibility activities across the organization. Progress on strategic focus areas and execution of relevant tasks rely on a broad and engaged network of functional leaders across the company.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

☑ Sustainability committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Head of Global Sustainability

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Viatris Board of Directors oversees management's efforts with respect to corporate environmental and social responsibility matters through its Governance and Sustainability Committee. The Global Sustainability function operates as a center of excellence within the Corporate Affairs leadership team. The Chief Corporate Affairs Officer reports directly to the CEO and communicates quarterly with the Viatris Board through the Governance and Sustainability Committee together with the Head of Global Sustainability. The Head of Global Sustainability drives the strategic and operational development of sustainability and corporate social responsibility across the company together with key partners. A multifunctional Advisory Committee comprised of global leaders with a monthly meeting cadence monitors the

external landscape and company progress and supports the integration of corporate environmental and social responsibility activities across the organization. Progress on strategic focus areas and execution of relevant tasks rely on a broad and engaged network of functional leaders across the company.
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.3) Please explain

We will not be providing a numeric value for total C-suite and board level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue. Senior management level functions are covered by the company's Total Rewards program, including core compensation such as base pay, short and long-term incentives, and equity grants where applicable. As applicable to their function, senior management level functions have incentives tied to sustainability related performance.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.3) Please explain

We will not be providing a numeric value for total C-suite and board level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue. Senior management level functions are covered by the company's Total Rewards program, including core compensation such as base pay, short and long-term incentives, and equity grants where applicable. As applicable to their function, senior management level functions have incentives tied to sustainability related performance.
[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

Emission reduction

☑ Reduction in absolute emissions

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

This function's annual performance assessment and bonus is in part tied to the company's overall sustainability performance and disclosure, including but not limited to climate and water.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The performance indicator is in line with our near-term science-based target which forms part of our climate transition plan.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Other targets-related metrics, please specify :Implementation of Water Risk Assessments at High Water Stress locations and expanding the use of Zero Liquid Discharge technology.

Resource use and efficiency

☑ Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations

☑ Improvements in water efficiency – direct operations

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

This function's annual performance assessment and bonus is in part tied to the company's overall sustainability performance and disclosure including but not limited to climate and water.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Current water objectives and projects focus on improving water usage efficiency and conservation and supply chain engagement with a focus on antibiotic suppliers and manufacturers. Further, as part of the company's efforts under the India CSR Act, the company executes projects related to access to clean water and sanitation. The execution is included in the performance review of responsible functions.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Facility/Unit/Site management

☑ Facilities manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

We primarily recognize site level achievements and projects that have a direct or indirect impact on climate change issues. In some areas and locations managers are given annual energy and/or climate change related objectives as part of their annual performance assessments which are tied into their annual bonus.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Site Sustainability plans are utilized to identify activities that are planned and implemented in alignment with the corporate targets.
[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Viatris' Global Climate Change Policy covers Viatris' direct operation operations globally. Viatris' Supplier Code of Conduct covers upstream operations.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☑ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Climate-specific commitments

☑ Other climate-related commitment, please specify **:**UN Global Compact participation and Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI).

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Global Climate Change Policy.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Viatris' Global Water Policy covers Viatris' direct operations globally. Viatris' Supplier Code of Conduct covers upstream operations.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☑ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

☑ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Water-specific commitments

☑ Commitment to control/reduce/eliminate water pollution

☑ Other water-related commitment, please specify :UN Global Compact participation, Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI) and AMR (Anti-microbial Resistance) Alliance.

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, in line with Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Global Water Policy.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☑ CEO Water Mandate

☑ Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)

☑ UN Global Compact

(4.10.3) Describe your organization’s role within each framework or initiative

We are a signatory to the UN Global Compact and committed to its 10 principles on human rights, labour, the environment and anticorruption. We are a signatory to the UN CEO Mandate as well as the UN Women's Empowerment Principles. Further we are founding board members of the AMR Industry Alliance and full members of the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI) and currently Chair this organization. Viatris has an approved 1.5C Science Based target.
[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

☑ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

VIAT-USA-001-OFF Certificate.pdf

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

☑ Mandatory government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

European Parliament Transparency Register: 940251520152-08; US House of Representative ID: 337920000; US Senate ID: 26353-12

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Viatris has a Global Climate Change Policy, a Global Water Stewardship Policy, a Global Environmental Stewardship Policy and a Supplier Code of Conduct - all aiming to promote responsible environmental practices in our own operations and those of our partners. We recognize that human activity, including our manufacturing operations, may have potential to contribute to climate change. As a signatory to the UN Global Compact, and as part of our commitment to help achieve the Sustainable Development Goals, we work systematically to reduce our GHG emissions while looking to ensure access to high quality and affordable medicine. Viatris is committed to doing its part to help address climate change through advanced technologies at our operations and through partnerships and multi-stakeholder engagement. As part of this we are committed to: comply with all applicable climate change regulations, continually improve greenhouse gas emissions, identify risks and opportunities associated with climate change, responsibly source energy and collaborate with supply and value chain partners.
[Fixed row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

☑ Other global trade association, please specify :Trade / Industry association: AMR (Anti-microbial resistance) Industry Alliance, Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI)

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

We are aligned with their activities.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

45000

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

AMR Industry Alliance is aimed at reducing the environmental impact from the production of antibiotics.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

[Add row]

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy

☑ Governance

☑ Emission targets

☑ Emissions figures

☑ Water accounting figures

☑ Content of environmental policies

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Pages 35-40 and 64-69

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2023 Sustainability Report.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

No additional comments.
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:
☑ Not defined

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:
☑ Not defined
[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ No SSP used

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Country/area

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 2.0ºC - 2.4ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2040

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Determining climate and water risk was determined by a risk matrix Climate/Water Risk Exposure x Vulnerability. Exposure to external factors as per IPCC RCP2.6 and 8.5 scenarios at a 2030-2035 horizon. Vulnerability to internal factors of sensitivity of Viatris operations to climate events and adaptability of Viatris to mitigate impact from the hazard. Climate scenarios are not predictions or forecasts of the future but can highlight central elements of a possible future. They vary not only in their temperature outcome but also in the pathway to that outcome. This reflects uncertainties and assumptions about climate systems economic conditions energy use and technologies. Scenarios may describe global climate models such as those used by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) or those developed by international agencies such as the International Energy Agency (IEA).

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Scenarios were chosen based on the likelihood of a 2 degree C scenario and a more extreme 4 degree C scenario if the 2 degree scenario is not achieved.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ No SSP used

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Country/area

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 2.0ºC - 2.4ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2040

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Changes to the state of nature

Direct interaction with climate

☑ Other direct interaction with climate driving forces, please specify :Water availability and quality

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Climate change RCP 2.6 was evaluated; no SSP was used; qualitative and quantitative evaluation of countries/areas evaluating acute physical and chronic physical risk. 2.0ºC – 2.4ºC for the time period 2021 – 2040. Climate change is one of five drivers of nature change. Climate and water risk was determined by a risk matrix Climate/Water Risk Exposure x Vulnerability. Exposure to external factors as per IPCC RCP 2.6 and 8.5 scenarios at a 2030-2035 horizon. Vulnerability to internal factors of sensitivity of Viatris operations to climate events and adaptability of Viatris to mitigate impact from the hazard. Climate scenarios are not predictions or forecasts of the future but can highlight central elements of a possible future. They vary not only in their temperature outcome but also in the pathway to that outcome. This reflects uncertainties and assumptions about climate systems economic conditions, energy use and technologies. Scenarios may describe global climate models such as those used by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) or those developed by international agencies such as the International Energy Agency (IEA).

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Scenarios were chosen based on the likelihood of a 2 degree C scenario and a more extreme 4 degree C scenario if the 2 degree scenario is not achieved.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ No SSP used

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Country/area

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 4.0ºC and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2040

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Changes to the state of nature

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Climate change RCP 2.6 was evaluated; no SSP was used; qualitative and quantitative evaluation of countries/areas evaluating acute physical and chronic physical risk. 2.0ºC – 2.4ºC for the time period 2021 – 2040. Climate change is one of five drivers of nature change. Climate and water risk was determined by a risk matrix

Climate/Water Risk Exposure x Vulnerability. Exposure to external factors as per IPCC RCP 2.6 and 8.5 scenarios at a 2030-2035 horizon. Vulnerability to internal factors of sensitivity of Viatris operations to climate events and adaptability of Viatris to mitigate impact from the hazard. Climate scenarios are not predictions or forecasts of the future but can highlight central elements of a possible future. They vary not only in their temperature outcome but also in the pathway to that outcome. This reflects uncertainties and assumptions about climate systems economic conditions, energy use and technologies. Scenarios may describe global climate models such as those used by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) or those developed by international agencies such as the International Energy Agency (IEA).

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Scenarios were chosen based on the likelihood of a 2 degree C scenario and a more extreme 4 degree C scenario if the 2 degree scenario is not achieved.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☑ No SSP used

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Country/area

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Acute physical

☑ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 4.0ºC and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2040

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☑ Changes to the state of nature

Direct interaction with climate

☑ Other direct interaction with climate driving forces, please specify **:**Water availability and Quality

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Climate change RCP 2.6 was evaluated; no SSP was used; qualitative and quantitative evaluation of countries/areas evaluating acute physical and chronic physical risk. 2.0ºC – 2.4ºC for the time period 2021 – 2040. Climate change is one of five drivers of nature change. Climate and water risk was determined by a risk matrix Climate/Water Risk Exposure x Vulnerability. Exposure to external factors as per IPCC RCP 2.6 and 8.5 scenarios at a 2030-2035 horizon. Vulnerability to internal factors of sensitivity of Viatris operations to climate events and adaptability of Viatris to mitigate impact from the hazard. Climate scenarios are not predictions or forecasts of the future but can highlight central elements of a possible future. They vary not only in their temperature outcome but also in the pathway to that outcome. This reflects uncertainties and assumptions about climate systems economic conditions, energy use and technologies. Scenarios may describe global climate models

such as those used by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) or those developed by international agencies such as the International Energy Agency (IEA).

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Scenarios were chosen based on the likelihood of a 2 degree C scenario and a more extreme 4 degree C scenario if the 2 degree scenario is not achieved.
[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

☑ Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Scenarios analysis provided a country analysis with a focus on where Viatris facilities are located. The scenario analysis provided an overview of the following risks: water stress, cyclones, extreme precipitation, heat waves, and mean temperature rise.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

☑ Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Scenarios analysis provided a country analysis with a focus on where Viatris facilities are located. The scenario analysis provided an overview of the following risks: water stress, cyclones, extreme precipitation, heat waves and mean temperature rise.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Viatris adopted a climate strategy in 2021 based on achieving a science based GHG reduction target aligned with the 1.5 degree C scenario and approved by the SBTi. Our strategy encompasses a 42% GHG reduction of scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 2030 with a base year of 2020 and a scope 3 GHG reduction of 25% for scope 3 categories 1-4 with the same time frame. As we work towards achieving this near-term target, we are learning more about our GHG footprint the technologies that are available and the effort required to drive down GHG emissions for both Viatris and our suppliers. We are gaining knowledge and an understanding of what it will take to achieve a long term GHG reduction target and create a formal transition plan designed to achieve that long term target. When we are closer to achieving

our near-term target, we intend to develop both a long-term target and a formal transition plan however at the present time we are not prepared to publicly make this commitment.
[Fixed row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

Water-related capital and operational expenditures did not change significantly compared to 2022. Examples of water related capital expenditures in 2023 include but are not limited to upgrades to water systems, upgrades to wastewater systems, expansion of zero liquid discharge plants, and water leakage monitoring sensors. Capital and operational expenditures are not expected to change significantly next year.
[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:
☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:
☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

Establishing an internal price for carbon has not been formally considered by Viatris. We established our current and first GHG reduction target in 2021 which is science-based aligned with a 1.5-degree scenario and was approved by the Science Based Target initiative in 2022. Our priority with respect to climate change has been developing a program that effectively engages our internal organization and our supply chain to implement our GHG reduction strategy and achieve the Scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG reduction targets. The use of an internal price for carbon may be considered in the future.
[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

Suppliers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

Customers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Viatris indirectly engages our investors and shareholders through public reporting efforts.

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Investors and shareholders are not directly engaged on environmental issues. Annually, Viatris publishes its Sustainability Report and responds to the CDP questionnaire. It is through these two activities that we share environmental information and performance data with investors and shareholders.

Other value chain stakeholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

We have not identified other value chain stakeholders where engagement on climate and water related issues necessitated engagement.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

☑ Other, please specify :Engagement with suppliers is based on spend and products that support our top revenue generating products.

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

A materiality threshold is used and applied to suppliers of materials that support our top revenue generating products. Factors that are considered are the availability of an alternate supplier, likelihood of a supply disruption event, anticipated duration of the disruption and the frequency with which the supplier is likely to experience these events. Key suppliers are periodically evaluated as part of the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI) assessment program.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ None

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Impact on water availability

☑ Other, please specify :Engagement with suppliers is based on spend and products that support our top revenue generating products.

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

A materiality threshold is used and applied to suppliers of materials that support our top revenue generating products. Factors that are considered are the availability of an alternate supplier, likelihood of a supply disruption event, anticipated duration of the disruption and the frequency with which the supplier is likely to experience these events. Key suppliers are periodically evaluated as part of the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI) assessment program.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ None

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Material sourcing

☑ Procurement spend

☑ Product safety and compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

A mapping exercise was performed for suppliers that support Viatris' top revenue generating products. Based on this exercise the suppliers were evaluated for business continuity risks that included environmental issues. Top suppliers were also engaged when the revised Supplier Code of Conduct was published; this includes requirements covering environmental issues which include climate and water related activities.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Material sourcing

☑ Procurement spend

☑ Product safety and compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

A mapping exercise was performed for suppliers that support Viatris' top revenue generating products. Based on this exercise the suppliers were evaluated for business continuity risks that included environmental issues. Top suppliers were also engaged when the revised Supplier Code of Conduct was published; this includes requirements covering environmental issues which include climate and water related activities.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☑ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☑ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Viatris' Supplier Code of Conduct and the EHS Supplier Operations Program require the measurement and management of GHG emissions. We are implementing a supplier survey to understand which suppliers are currently measuring and managing their GHG footprint.

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☑ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☑ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Viatris' Supplier Code of conduct and the EHS Supplier Operations Program require suppliers to have systems in place for adequate wastewater treatment and monitoring the quality of wastewater discharged. Any wastewater with the potential to adversely impact human or environmental health shall be appropriately managed, controlled and treated prior to release into the environment.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Measure and manage GHG emissions

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify **:**Process to be developed

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Our response to supplier non-compliance is dependent on the supplier and the material that the supplier manufactures. In some cases we maintain the ability to cease to do business with suppliers.

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :To date we have not identified a non-compliant supplier; each non-compliant supplier will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Viatris is in the early stages of our supplier engagement with respect to scope 3 emissions. Top suppliers have received the Supplier Code of Conduct and a letter informing them of Viatris' scope 3 target. Going forward we will be conducting a Supplier GHG emission survey. Percentages associated with suppliers in compliance with the requirement to measure and manage GHG emissions are estimates based on our environmental consultants evaluation of suppliers who report to CDP and other sources.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Setting and monitoring water pollution-related targets

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ On-site third-party audit

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify **:**Engage suppliers and work with them to achieve compliance.

(5.11.6.12) Comment

As a founding member of the Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) Industry Alliance we have in place a system to assess antibiotic suppliers to ensure that the manufacturing processes associated with our suppliers operations maintain effluent level below the predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC).
[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ No other supplier engagement

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ No other supplier engagement

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Customers have been engaged through dialogue with their supplier community and the tender offer process. During this process we explain our approved Science Based GHG reduction targets to demonstrate our commitment to reducing our impact on the environment. Development and advocacy for non-price tender/procurement criteria to incentivize and reward sustainability practices while protecting a reliable supply of medicine. Including promotion of SBTi approved and validated GHG emission reduction targets and public disclosure to the CDP.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

It is difficult to measure the impact of our engagement. The goal is to demonstrate our environmental performance in the hopes that this will provide a competitive advantage during the tender process. Wider understanding of the relevance of non-price tender/procurement criteria to incentivize and reward sustainability practices while protecting a reliable supply of medicine. Including promotion of SBTi approved and validated GHG emission reduction targets, AMR IA standards and other water stewardship practices as well as public disclosure to the CDP.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Customers associated with our antimicrobial products have been engaged to demonstrate our commitment to minimizing the impact of manufacturing antimicrobial products. This engagement identifies Viatris as a founding member of the Antimicrobial Resistance Industry Alliance and the efforts we take to ensure that our operations and our supplier's operations meet the Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC). Development and advocacy for non-price tender/procurement criteria to incentivize and reward sustainability practices while protecting a reliable supply of medicine. Including promotion of the Industry Alliance Standard on Responsible Antibiotic manufacturing, which seeks to scale the standards that aims to ensure that our operations and our supplier's operations meet the Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC, and reporting to the CDP for larger access to comparable information.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

It is difficult to measure the impact of our engagement. The goal is to demonstrate our environmental performance in the hopes that this will provide a competitive advantage during the tender process. Wider understanding of the relevance of non-price tender/procurement criteria to incentivize and reward sustainability practices while protecting a reliable supply of medicine. Including promotion of SBTi approved and validated GHG emission reduction targets, AMR IA standards and other water stewardship practices as well as public disclosure to the CDP.
[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

	Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement	Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives	Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives
	Select from: ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Other, please specify :We are not aware of any mutually beneficial opportunities.	*No companies have engaged us with respect to mutually beneficial opportunities.*

[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Operational control	*Operational control was selected because this provided the best fit for our organization.*
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Operational control	*Operational control was selected because this provided the best fit for our organization.*
Plastics	*Select from:* ☑ Operational control	*Operational control was selected because this provided the best fit for our organization.*
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Operational control	*Operational control was selected because this provided the best fit for our organization.*

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

(7.1.1.1) Has there been a structural change?

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, a divestment

(7.1.1.2) Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with

Katsuyama, Japan and Sugar Land, Texas facilities were divested.

(7.1.1.3) Details of structural change(s), including completion dates

Both the Katsuyama and Sugar Land facilities were sold as operating facilities in 2023. Since the sale of both sites included the product manufacturing activities, their footprint has been removed from our base year footprint.
[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization’s base year emissions and past years’ emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2, location-based

☑ Scope 2, market-based

(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

Viatris has committed to recalculating our base year when there is a 5% threshold change. This is commitment is the threshold limit; Viatris will perform base year adjustments at a lower thresholds as deemed appropriate.

(7.1.3.4) Past years’ recalculation

Select from:

☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	*Select from:* ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	*We are reporting both location-based and market-based scope 2 emissions.*

[Fixed row]

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Viatris excludes several small facilities because of materiality. These locations were screened based on square footage and it was estimated that their emissions amount to roughly 1%-1.5% of our total Scope 1 & 2 emissions.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☑ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

☑ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.5) Relevance of market-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

☑ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

1.2

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Viatris excludes several small facilities because of materiality. These locations were screened based on square footage and it was estimated that their emissions amount to roughly 1%-1.5% of our total Scope 1 & 2 emissions.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

These locations were screened based on square footage and it was estimated that their emissions amount to roughly 1%-1.5% of our total Scope 1 & 2 emissions.
[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

345152

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculated based on the WRI GHG Protocol and Operational Control using actual energy consumption, EPA GHG Emissions Factors database.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

498485

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculated based on the WRI GHG Protocol and Operational Control using actual energy consumption, EPA GHG Emissions Factors database, IEA database and India Central Electric Authority published factors.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

435424

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Market-Based: Calculated based on the WRI GHG Protocol and Operational Control using actual energy consumption, EPA GHG Emissions Factors database IEA database and India Central Electric Authority published factors. For purchased renewable electricity market-based emissions factor is zero.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1675980

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions were calculated using the CEDA spend-based emissions model by matching spend categories to CEDA emission factor categories.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24732

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions were calculated using the CEDA spend-based emissions model by matching spend categories to CEDA emission factor categories.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

163708

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculation is based on the volume of fuel and electricity consumed. All emission factors (excluding Electricity) were obtained from the DEFRA Conversion Factors database. Electricity emission factors are a combination of factors obtained from both DEFRA and IEA databases.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

179000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculation is based upon indirect spending categorized under Logistics. Spend-based emissions factors were obtained from the CEDA Environmentally-Extended Input-Output database.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

19350

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculation is based upon waste in operations and wastewater production activities. Emissions factors were obtained from the DEFRA Conversion Database, the EPA Database and Ecoinvent.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6311

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Air Travel: calculation is based upon the number of miles flown by Viatris employees on business related trips; flight emissions factors were obtained from the DEFRA Conversion Factor database - flights emissions factors are divided into Short Haul and Long Haul, as defined by DEFRA. Rental Cars: calculation is based upon the fuel consumption of Viatris-rented cars; fuel emissions factors were obtained from the DEFRA Conversion Factor database. Rail: Spend-based emissions factors were obtained from the CEDA Environmentally-Extended Input-Output database.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

43337

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Model Assumptions: 1. Two separate proprietary EcoAct consultant models are used one for US specific data and the other for international locations Both models use a distance base method with roundtrip distance for all FTEs for all working days in a year multiplied by mode of transportation and the associated emissions factors for each mode of transport (kg/CO2e/unit distance). 2. For the US model the average distance travelled per state is taken from the National Household Travel Survey NHTS database In the World model distance travelled is calculated using travel speed and time data collected by the University of Michigan. 3. The proportion of people using different modes of transport is taken from NHTS for the US model and the European Commission on Transport Statistics for the World model. 4. For

the US model the modes of transport are taken from the NHTS database and mapped to the EPAs emissions factor hub. For the World model mode specific emissions factors are obtained from DEFRA Data Assumptions 1. In both models the number of working days in the year is set to 250. 2. Values for FTEs only includes in-person employees.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6914

(7.5.3) Methodological details

All Viatris T&D spend (and therefore all Cat. 4 emissions) is associated with outbound shipping (Leg 1). Downstream T&D (Leg 2) distance is 25% of the Viatris outbound (Leg 1) distance (conservative estimate). Leg 1 is 70% ocean and 30% air transportation. Leg 2 is 100% truck transportation.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

17438

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculated based on volume of API sold to others and a calculated factor based on emissions for Viatris to process a similar quantity of API.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculated based on the amount of propellants used in sold products and the IPCC GWP of those propellants.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8258

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculation is based upon the estimated weight of non-consumable material sold as part of Viatris products. Total consumed material weight is estimated using the recorded weight of items within the Device, PKG, RSM and TBC categories within Viatris' direct spending data. Estimated proportion of weight for each material is estimated using EPA data on packaging materials. Proportion of weight recycled for each material is estimated using EPA data on packaging materials. Emission Factors taken from the DEFRA Conversion Factors database.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

28975

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on Scope 1&2 emissions reported from operator of leased asset.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	End date	Methodological details
Reporting year	*334041*	*Date input [must be between [10/01/2015 - 10/01/2023]*	*Calculated based on the WRI GHG Protocol and Operational Control using actual energy consumption, EPA GHG Emissions Factors database.*
Past year 1	*330521*	*12/31/2022*	*Calculated based on the WRI GHG Protocol and Operational Control using actual energy consumption, EPA GHG Emissions Factors database.*
Past year 2	*345152*	*12/31/2020*	*Calculated based on the WRI GHG Protocol and Operational Control using actual energy consumption, EPA GHG Emissions Factors database.*

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

477974

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

415984

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Calculated based on the WRI GHG Protocol and Operational Control using actual energy consumption, EPA GHG Emissions Factors database IEA database and India Central Electric Authority published factors.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

454115

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

395914

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Calculated based on the WRI GHG Protocol and Operational Control using actual energy consumption, EPA GHG Emissions Factors database IEA database and India Central Electric Authority published factors.

Past year 2

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

498485

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

435424

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2020

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Calculated based on the WRI GHG Protocol and Operational Control using actual energy consumption, EPA GHG Emissions Factors database IEA database and India Central Electric Authority published factors.
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1704340

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Hybrid method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Hybrid Methodology based on consultant (EcoAct) model that scrapes emissions data from company reports including historical CDP reporting. This scraped methodology employs a spend based calculation that uses the ratio of supplier revenue and reported Scope 1, 2 and 3 Upstream emissions. Where self-reported supplier emissions are not available a spend based model using CEDA factors is employed.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

57034

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions were calculated using the CEDA spend-based emissions model by matching spend categories to CEDA emission factor categories.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

185858

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Fuel-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Calculation is based on the volume of fuel and electricity consumed. All emission factors were obtained from the UK Government Emission Factor Database. Country-level emission factors for transmission and distribution (T&D) losses, well-to-tank (WTT) generation, and WTT T&D were extracted from the EcoAct WTT Database which utilizes data from the IEA, OurWorldInData, and the IPCC.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

211988

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Spend-based emissions calculations are based on Viatris' indirect spend on transportation and logistics related spend. The emission factor used for Intermodal Freight was calculated by dividing the total emissions by the total spend (USD) for all other modes of transportation.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

23405

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Calculations are based on the amount of waste and wastewater generated, as well as the amount of water procured. Emissions factors were obtained from the 2023 UK Government Emission Factors Database, 2023 EPA Database, and EcoInvent v3.10.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

31265

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Air Travel: calculation is based upon the number of miles flown by Viatris employees on business-related trips; flight emissions factors were obtained from the UK Government Emission Factor database - flights emissions factors are divided into Short Haul and Long Haul, as defined by DEFRA. Tank-to-Wheel (TTW) and Well-to-Tank (WTT) emissions were calculated by multiplying the relevant distance data for each mode of transport by the UK Government Emission Factors Database. Rental Cars: calculation is based upon the fuel consumption of Viatris-rented cars; fuel emissions factors were obtained from the UK Government Emission Factor database. Rail: Spend-based emissions factors were obtained from the CEDA Environmentally-Extended Input-Output database.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

32763

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Consultant (EcoAct) proprietary model.

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Calculated using EcoAct (Consultant) proprietary models for commuting emissions. These include a global model for commuting and a separate model for commuting in the US. Emissions are calculated based on the number of in-person employees and location data provided by Viatris. Work-from-home emissions have not been included as they are optional under the GHG Protocol.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Excluding leased assets that are included in our scope 1&2 footprint, we were not a significant real estate lessee in 2023.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

9148

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Estimated based on T&D Spend and a distance based methodology.

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

It is assumed that all T&D spend (and therefore all Category 4 emissions) are associated with outbound shipping (Leg 1). As such, this category focuses on calculating emissions associated with leg 2 of downstream transportation and distribution, which is assumed to not be included in Viatris' spend. Leg 1 is assumed to be 44% ocean and 56% air transportation as indicated by Viatris. An average leg 1 emission factor (kgCO2e/tonne.km) was determined based on the mode assumptions above and emission factors from the UK government. Well-to-tank emissions are included to align with SBTi guidance. Air travel emission factors exclude radiative forcing to align with SBTi guidance. Total category 4 emissions (excluding warehousing and other miscellaneous expenses) are divided by the average leg 1 emission factor to estimate total tonne.km associated with leg 1 transport. Leg 2 is assumed to be 100% truck transportation. Leg 2 is assumed to be 25% of the leg 1 distance. Total tonne.km for leg 2 were estimated by multiplying the leg 1 tonne.km by the share of products sold to markets with further distribution (87%). This was then multiplied by 25% to account for the reduced distance associated with leg 2. Leg 2 emissions were calculated by multiplying leg 2 tonne.km by UK government 2023 emission factors for an average laden truck. Leg 2 total emissions was estimated using Leg 1 emissions and the percentage of truck distance travelled. Both tank-to-wheel and well-to-tank emissions are included.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

17596

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Viatris proxy factor.

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Viatris active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) sales data in USD and metric tonnes as well as the total CO_2 equivalent emissions associated with further processing at third party sites downstream. Emissions were calculated using a proxy emission factor tCO_2e / t of API processed based on Viatris OSD Facility in 2020. The proxy emission factor was applied to the total volume of third party API sales for 2023 to calculate emissions.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

0.25

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Calculated based on actual propellant data.

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Assumed to leak in full over the lifetime of the product. Composition of propellants is expressed in % of total weight and was obtained from manufacturer documentation (FY20 benchmarks) where possible, supplemented by info from Diversified CPC. GWP values for propellant components were obtained from the IPCC AR4 (for DME) and AR6 (for Hydrocarbons) reports.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

14163

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Estimated Weight of non-consumable materials (packaging).

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Calculations are based upon the estimated weight of non-consumable material (packaging) by Viatris as part of their products. Total consumed material weight is estimated using the recorded weight of items within direct spend data. The weight of non-consumable waste for 2023 was estimated based on kg benchmarks for packaging in 2020 and actual spend for 2023 Aluminum Foil Paper and Cardboard and Plastics were highlighted as material sources of waste within the direct spend data. The estimated proportion of weight for each material is based on EPA data on packaging materials. The estimated proportion of weight for each material is based on EPA data on packaging materials. The proportion of weight recycled for each material is also based on EPA data on packaging materials. Emission Factors used are from the UK Government Emission Factors Database.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

30418

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.5) Please explain

Based on Scope 1&2 emissions reported from operator of leased asset.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Viatris does not operate franchises.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Viatris does not have investments in other companies that would result in emissions.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

No other relevant other emissions were identified.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

No other relevant other emissions were identified.
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Reasonable assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

Verification Letter UK.VOS.VOL.INV.0165.2023_Viatris.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

Page 4, Section: Conclusion

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:
☑ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Reasonable assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Verification Letter UK.VOS.VOL.INV.0165.2023_Viatris.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Page 4, Section: Conclusion

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Reasonable assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Verification Letter UK.VOS.VOL.INV.0165.2023_Viatris.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Page 4, Section Conclusion

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12000

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.6

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

A significant decrease in coal consumption replaced by the use of bio-briquettes.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8181

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.1

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Implementation of LED lighting projects, equipment upgrades, process optimization, compressed air leak reductions, and other energy saving activities. Summing the emissions reduction calculations for the projects implemented in 2023 netted a 1.1% reduction in GHG Emissions.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Viatris divested 2 facilities in 2023, however because we baseline adjusted the emissions out of the 2022 and 2023 footprint there is no net change from reported emissions.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Acquisitions were not relevant in 2023

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Mergers were not relevant in 2023.

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10862

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.4

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Production increases and the process to start up areas for production in 2024 increased the energy use and therefore the GHG emissions.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant in 2023

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant in 2023

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

32909

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

4.4

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

The API business unit insourced the recovery of solvents thereby increasing scope 1 & 2 emissions; this and increased production drove the increase.

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant in 2023

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant in 2023
[Fixed row]

(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.

	CO2 emissions from biogenic carbon (metric tons CO2)	Comment
	31773	*Increased use of Bio-briquettes at 3 key facilities in India. The increased use of bio-briquettes reduced the consumption of coal.*

[Fixed row]

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

318138

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

929

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

1655

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

13320

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

Row 5

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ PFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

Row 6

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ SF6

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

Row 7

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ NF3

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

878

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9943

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9943

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

89

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

106

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

106

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

232

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

185

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

185

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3853

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

42806

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

42806

Egypt

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1190

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3122

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3122

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2688

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1153

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1153

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

925

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1856

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1856

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1772

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2199

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2199

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

254069

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

315264

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

261956

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9609

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10768

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4310

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

477

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

563

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

563

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

49

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

22

Puerto Rico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40045

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

62144

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

62144

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

580

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4249

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4249

Turkey

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

970

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2225

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

295

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

295

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16595

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21017

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

21017

Zambia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

19

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

58

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

58
[Fixed row]

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

Row 1

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Non-Vertical

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

6027.6

Row 2

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Respiratory

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

2390.4

Row 3

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Greater China

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

3853.2

Row 4

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Research & Development

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

2058.6

Row 5

(7.17.1.1) Business division

OSD

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

17149.7

Row 6

(7.17.1.1) Business division

IOAO

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

45604.5

Row 7

(7.17.1.1) Business division

API

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

233809.6

Row 8

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Injectables

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

12588.4

Row 9

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Dermatology

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

10559.5
[Add row]

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity
Row 2	*Research & Development*
Row 3	*Manufacturing*
Row 4	*Office & Other*
Row 5	*Packaging*

[Add row]

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

Row 1

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Non-Vertical

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7519.5

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7519.5

Row 2

(7.20.1.1) Business division

IOAO

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

72599.4

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

70374.4

Row 3

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Injectables

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

38220.4

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8172.4

Row 4

(7.20.1.1) Business division

OSD

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

134427.2

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

132402.2

Row 6

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Research & Development

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18190.4

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15533.4

Row 7

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Dermatology

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10702.9

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10702.9

Row 8

(7.20.1.1) Business division

API

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

150746.4

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

128473.4

Row 10

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Respiratory

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2762

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 11

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Greater China

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

42805.6

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

42805.6
[Add row]

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity
Row 2	*Office & Other*
Row 3	*Manufacturing*
Row 4	*Packaging*
Row 5	*R&D*

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

334041

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

477974

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

415984

(7.22.4) Please explain

Consolidated Accounting Group is inclusive of all Scope 1 and 2 emissions.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

No areas are excluded from our Consolidated Accounting Group.
[Fixed row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☑ Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Our large number of products, the different energy intensity and multiple locations where products are manufactured, packaged and distributed make this very difficult. The effort required to do this is significant and unnecessarily increases the cost of goods sold. A legal requirement to develop product footprinting would be needed for us to engage in this activity.

Row 2

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☑ Customer base is too large and diverse to accurately track emissions to the customer level

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

The large customer base and diverse product portfolio presents significant challenges to collect and generate this data. A legal requirement to develop product footprinting would be needed for us to engage in this activity.
[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.28.3) Primary reason for no plans to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers

Select from:

☑ No standardized procedure

(7.28.4) Explain why you do not plan to develop capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers

The broad range of products manufactured by Viatris coupled with our geographically diverse manufacturing packaging and distribution locations makes this very difficult to achieve. At the present time the added work to accomplish this has no return on investment and is not a legal requirement therefore this is not being pursued.
[Fixed row]

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:*

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
	☑ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

78799.5

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1133506.5

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1212306

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

96745

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

596123.9

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

692868.9

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

38187.1

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

38187.1

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

4104

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

4104

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

179648.5

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1767817.5

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1947466
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

We do not use sustainable biomass fuels

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

78799.5

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

78799.5

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Bio-briquettes are used at 3 facilities in India for the generation of steam.

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

We do not use hydrogen or other renewable fuels.

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

672807.9

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

672807.9

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Coal was consumed at our API facilities which were divested in 2024

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

60287.7

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

25648

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

609.6

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

34030.1

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Oil includes diesel, Fuel Oil#2, Furnace Oil, kerosene and low sulfur heavy stock fuel oil. It excludes all mobile fuels.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

180318.4

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

6.9

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

60791.2

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

119520.3

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

This does not include natural gas used in vehicles.

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

199712.9

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

477136

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

80060.3

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

119175.4

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Includes LPG, propane and solvents

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

1191960.9

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

25654.9

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

61912

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

985218.1

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

119175.4

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Total fuel excludes all mobile fuels.
[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

28217

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

28217

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

4104

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

4104

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

55552

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

55552

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

813218

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

813218

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

63040

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

63040

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

11154

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

11154

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ India

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Physical power purchase agreement (physical PPA) with a grid-connected generator

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

67172

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Indian REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ India

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2014

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Viatris has multiple PPA agreements in India - all from solar power. The commissioning dates vary from 2014 to 2022.

Row 2

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Ireland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Physical power purchase agreement (physical PPA) with a grid-connected generator

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

24195

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Ireland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2010

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Renewable Electricity is purchased from SSE Renewables which has multiple wind generation facilities that were installed at different times between 2000 and 2017. Taking an average of the year completed and size of the windfarms provides an average commissioning year of 2010.

Row 3

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Turkey

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Small hydropower (<25 MW)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

5377.2

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Turkey

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2009

(7.30.14.10) Comment

The Istanbul facility is purchasing unbundled power and RECs. They purchase hydro power without the RECs and then separately purchase I-RECs in an amount equivalent to the power they receive.
[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

14605.37

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

56.99

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2978

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17640.36

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1139.04

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

90

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

77

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1306.04

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1538.61

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

2

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1017

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2557.61

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

34299.26

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

204.66

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

37596

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

16316

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

88415.92

Eygpt

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

8153.6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

396

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

3986

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12535.60

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

22436.57

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

11298

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

33734.57

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5938.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0.8

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

4122.1

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10061.10

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

9951.77

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

5.9

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

7354.1

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17311.77

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

397519

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

8148.1

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

650206.6

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1055873.70

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

29999.55

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

18.3

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

36782.2

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

66800.05

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2119.86

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1903.4

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4023.26

New Zealand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

166.89

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0.1

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

147.3

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

314.29

Puerto Rico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

85237.14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

242.5

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

101091

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

186570.64

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4580.27

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

41.1

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2074.9

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6696.27

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5377.22

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

4108.9

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

9486.12

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

901.1

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

590.9

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1492.00

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

58190.42

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

50

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

60814.6

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

119055.02

Zambia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

364.82

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

364.82
[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0000486

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

750025

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☑ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

15426900000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

8.8

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☑ Change in revenue

☑ Change in physical operating conditions

(7.45.9) Please explain

We experienced an increase in GHG emissions primarily driven by the insourcing of solvent recovery operations at our API facilities. This coupled with a 5 decrease in revenue are the two main drivers for the increased emission intensity.
[Add row]

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:
☑ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:
☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

VIAT-USA-001-OFF Certificate.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:
☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

06/01/2022

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

☑ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2020

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

345152

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

435424

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

780576.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

42

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

452734.080

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

334041

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

415984

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

750025.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ Yes, it covers land-related emissions/removals associated with bioenergy and non-land related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT with bioenergy)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

9.32

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Target covers all scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions within our boundary.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Reduce Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 42% by 2030 from a 2020 base year.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Viatris' plans to achieve scope 1& 2 target include: expanding use of renewable electricity, reducing energy consumption, switching to lower carbon fuels and optimizing our manufacturing network.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

Row 2

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 2

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

VIAT-USA-001-OFF Certificate.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

06/01/2022

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

☑ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services

☑ Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods

☑ Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

☑ Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2020

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1675980

(7.53.1.15) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

24732

(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

163708

(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

179000

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2043420.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

2043420.000

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.36) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

94

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

94

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

25

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

1532565.000

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1704340

(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

57034

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

185858

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

211989

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2159221.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

2159221.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ Yes, it covers land-related emissions/removals associated with bioenergy and non-land related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT with bioenergy)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

-22.67

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Target covers all Category 1-4 scope 3 emissions.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Reduce Scope 3 categories 1-4 emissions 25% by 2030 from a base year of 2020.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Target will be achieved through an integrated approach engaging existing suppliers to create and implement their own GHG reduction programs working with our Global Sourcing team to create metrics and purchasing strategies that benefit greener suppliers and where possible optimizing our manufacturing operations to minimize material needed through reuse and waste minimization efforts. In 2023 we engaged out top suppliers, our expectation that they measure and manage their GHG emissions and that we will be engaging them further in 2024.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	*102*	*`Numeric input*
To be implemented	*0*	*0*
Implementation commenced	*66*	*2262*
Implemented	*35*	*8181*
Not to be implemented	*0*	*`Numeric input*

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings
☑ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

482

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 2 (location-based)
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

96000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

327510

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 6-10 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Multiple LED lighting projects implemented throughout the network.

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☑ Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

237

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

47000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ <1 year

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 6-10 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Four process optimization projects implemented; these include modifications to temperature settings, reducing steam pressure and optimizing humidity set points. There are no costs associated with these projects.

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

☑ Solid biofuels

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

7105

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1315000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

3150000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Transition boiler fuel from burning coal to burning bio-briquettes at 2 manufacturing facilities in India. Project was completed in late 2022 and delivered complete year emission reduction in 2023.

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption
☑ Solar PV

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

130

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 2 (location-based)
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

15000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

59685

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Implemented a 99kWp onsite solar system at a facility in Australia.

Row 5

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☑ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

49

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

10000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1125000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ >25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

End of life replacement of HVAC equipment projects are infrastructure upgrades. When upgrading equipment we implement equipment that is more efficient than the equipment being replaced and therefore achieve a CO2 reduction benefit. These projects are not cost saving projects.
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

We prioritize channeling funds to emissions reductions initiatives associated with regulatory compliance requirements.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Lower return on investment (ROI) specification

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Projects are evaluated and funded based on their merits. Projects that deliver GHG reductions are viewed favorably when compared to other cost saving projects that do not deliver a sustainability benefit.
[Add row]

(7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits canceled by your organization in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

☑ Hydro

(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

☑ Emissions reduction

(7.79.1.5) Purpose of cancelation

Select from:

☑ Voluntary offsetting

(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at cancelation?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

☑ Purchased

[Add row]

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☑ Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Commercial facilities and small research facilities are excluded. These facilities are typically outside of our operational control boundary.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Excluded water use is estimated to be less than 2% of total water use.

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

☑ 1-5%

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

Commercial facilities and small research facilities are excluded. These are estimated to be less than 2% of the total water volume. Following the operational control model we would consider these sites outside our boundary.
[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility invoices and on-site meter readings.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Total water usage volumes are routinely tracked and monitored at each manufacturing, packaging, large R&D and distribution sites within our operational control as part of our environmental programs, to drive continuous improvement and ensure compliance with regulations as applicable. At our manufacturing facilities water meter readings are typically taken manually and recorded on a shift or daily basis. Site specific total water withdrawal volumes are uploaded to a central database and reviewed monthly, quarterly, annually or as required.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water withdrawal volumes and source data is typically obtained from our water utility provider.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Total water usage volumes by source are routinely tracked and monitored at each manufacturing, packaging, large R&D and distribution sites within our operational control as part of our environmental programs, to drive continuous improvement and ensure compliance with regulations as applicable. At our manufacturing facilities water meter readings are taken manually and recorded on a shift basis. Sources of water and the volume withdrawn are tracked for each site in a central database and reviewed monthly, quarterly, annually or as required.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water quality monitoring varies based on the site. Some sites perform on-site monitoring while others rely on the local water provider quality reports.

(9.2.4) Please explain

The quality of water withdrawals is routinely tracked and monitored at each manufacturing, packaging, large R&D and distribution site within our operational control on a set schedule monthly, semi-annually, and annually as required by regulatory authorities. Water quality data is maintained at the site level as required.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Daily

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Daily monitoring at sites required to monitor effluent discharge

(9.2.4) Please explain

Total water discharge volumes are routinely tracked and monitored at each manufacturing, packaging, large R&D and distribution site within our operational control as part of our environmental programs, to drive continuous improvement and ensure compliance with regulations as applicable. At our manufacturing facilities meter readings are taken manually and recorded on a shift. Site specific total water discharge volumes are uploaded to a central database and reviewed monthly, quarterly, annually or as required.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Varies - where required flow meters are used.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Total water discharge volumes by destination are routinely tracked and monitored at each manufacturing, packaging, large R&D and distribution site within our operational control as part of our environmental programs, to drive continuous improvement and ensure compliance with regulations as applicable. At our manufacturing facilities water meter readings are taken manually and recorded on a shift basis. Wastewater destinations and the volume discharged are tracked for each site in a central database and reviewed monthly, quarterly, annually or as required.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Varies - where required flow meters are used.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Total water usage volumes by treatment method are routinely tracked and monitored at each manufacturing, packaging, large R&D and distribution site within our operational control as part of our environmental programs, to drive continuous improvement and ensure compliance with regulations as applicable. At our manufacturing facilities water meter readings are taken manually and recorded on a shift basis. Wastewater treatment methods and the volume discharged are tracked for each site in a central database and reviewed monthly, quarterly, annually or as required.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Varies based on regulatory requirements.

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Samples are taken and analytic analyses are performed to measure constituents.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Data associated with water discharge or wastewater quality are collected and maintained at the site level as required per regulatory requirements. Data is reviewed by site, regional and global teams and leadership on a monthly and/or quarterly basis to ensure compliance with local regulations and permits.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Varies based on regulatory requirements.

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Samples are taken and analytic analyses are performed to measure constituents.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Data associated with water discharge or wastewater quality are collected and maintained at the site level as required per regulatory requirements and permits. Priority substance parameters are monitored by sample collection and laboratory analyses. The frequency of measurement varies based on local requirements and can range from monthly, quarterly, annually, or other frequency as requested by the agency. Data is reviewed by site, regional and global teams and leadership on a monthly and/or quarterly basis as applicable to ensure compliance with local regulations and permits.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Varies by regulatory requirements.

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Varies, we conduct continuous monitoring using a temperature probe at some facilities and periodic sample testing at other facilities.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Data associated with water discharge or wastewater quality are collected and maintained at the site level as required per regulatory requirements. Data is reviewed by site, regional and global teams and leadership on a monthly and/or quarterly basis to ensure compliance with local regulations and permits.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility invoices and on-site meter readings and water balances.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Total water consumption volumes are routinely tracked and monitored at each manufacturing, packaging, large R&D and distribution site within our operational control as part of our environmental programs, to drive continuous improvement and ensure compliance with regulations as applicable. At our manufacturing facilities water meter readings are taken manually and recorded on a shift basis. Water consumption volumes are calculated in a central database based on water withdrawal and water discharge volumes and reviewed monthly, quarterly, annually, or as required.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

On-site meter readings.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Total water recycled/reused is routinely tracked and monitored at each manufacturing, packaging, large R&D and distribution sites within our operational control as part of our environmental programs, to drive continuous improvement and ensure compliance with regulations as applicable. At our manufacturing facilities water meter readings are taken manually and recorded on a shift basis. Water recycled/reused volumes are tracked for each site in a central database and reviewed monthly, quarterly, annually or as required.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Daily

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Evaluation of Janitorial Services provider performance.

(9.2.4) Please explain

All facilities provide fully-functioning Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) services for all workers. WASH services are routinely maintained and reviewed at each site.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

3749

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Production increases, water leaks and two new facilities reporting water use led to the higher water use in 2023 over 2022. As we expand the use of zero liquid discharge technology and implement opportunities identified during water risk assessments, we anticipate future water withdrawal to decline.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

1450

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Higher water discharge is associated with increased production. As we increase the use of zero liquid discharge technology, we anticipate water discharged to be lower in the future.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

2229

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

As we expand the use of zero liquid discharge technologies and implement initiatives identified during the water risk assessments conducted we anticipate total water consumption will decrease.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

1622

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

43.26

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☑ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

The increase in water withdrawals from water stressed areas is driven by increased production. Going forward we anticipate water use to remain about the same; as the implementation of zero liquid discharge technology and water conservation activities identified during water risk assessments offset production growth.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

52.24

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Increase in surface water use is driven by one facility reclassifying their water source from 3rd party to surface water.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This category is not applicable to Viatris' operations.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

536

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Reduced use of rainwater.

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

The reduction of rainwater captured in 2023 was offset by increasing water pulled from a renewable groundwater source.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

750

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

In 2023 twelve sites began reporting third party water by the source of the third party water, therefore, the water use for this category is relevant for the first time.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This category is not applicable to Viatris' operations.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2411

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

In 2023 twelve site began reporting third party water from an off-site borewell from non-renewable water sources. This reduced the water from third party sources. [Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

169.6

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Wastewater discharged increased was driven by production increases.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

This category is not applicable to Viatris Operations.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

This category is not applicable to Viatris operations.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

1280.5

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Wastewater discharged increased was driven by production increases.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.

Tertiary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

862.4

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☑ 11-20

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Volume increased by 2.6% driven by an increase in production. The total volume will be lower next year based on the divestiture of the API business that occurred mid-year 2024. Long term we expect this volume to increase based on expanding the use of zero liquid discharge technologies.

Secondary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

205.5

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☑ 11-20

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Volume increased by 6.5% driven by production increases. We anticipate the total volume with secondary treatment to decrease based on the divestiture of 3 facilities with the treatment type.

Primary treatment only

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

744.7

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☑ 11-20

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Volume increased by 6.2% driven by increased production. We anticipate the volume to decrease next year because of the divestiture of 3 facilities with this treatment type.

Discharge to the natural environment without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Viatris does not discharge wastewater to the environment without prior treatment.

Discharge to a third party without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

415.5

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Facility closure

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:
☑ 41-50

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Volume decreased by 1.6% driven by a facility closure. Going forward we anticipate the discharge volume to remain about the same.

Other

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

This category is not relevant to Viatris operations.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

(9.2.10.1) Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)

1.6

(9.2.10.2) Categories of substances included

Select all that apply
☑ Nitrates

☑ Phosphates

☑ Priority substances listed under the EU Water Framework Directive

(9.2.10.3) List the specific substances included

Phosphate, Nitrate, Chloroform, Nickel, Cadmium, Lead, Mercury

(9.2.10.4) Please explain

All wastewater from the Viatris sites in 2023 was in compliance with regulatory requirements. The amount reported represents the emissions from EU sites that measure these parameters and calculates the value based on sample measurements multiplied by the wastewater volume. Phosphate represents 98.87% of the volume, Nitrates represent 1.07% and other constituents 0.06%.
[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.4) Please explain

Based on the climate scenario analysis and the water risk assessments we have conducted; we have determined that while risks do exist our mitigation activities have minimized the risks and therefore, no water related risks present substantive effect to Viatris' business within the next 10 years.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.4) Please explain

Based on the climate scenario analysis and the water risk assessments we have conducted; we have determined that while risks do exist our mitigation activities have minimized the risks and therefore, no water related risks present substantive effect to Viatris' business within the next 10 years.
[Fixed row]

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

15426900000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

4114937.32

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

We anticipate the water withdrawal efficiency will improve over time based on implementation of water improvement opportunities and the divestiture of the API business.
[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Annex XVII of EU REACH Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

The medicines are products that are essential for human health; they are highly regulated and when properly administered do not present a danger. The percent of revenue represents the revenue associated with our most potent compounds.

Row 2

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ List of substances (Canadian Environmental Protection Act)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

The medicines are products that are essential for human health; they are highly regulated and when properly administered do not present a danger. The percent of revenue represents the revenue associated with our most potent compounds.

Row 3

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern for Authorisation above 0.1% by weight (EU Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

The medicines are products that are essential for human health; they are highly regulated and when properly administered do not present a danger. The percent of revenue represents the revenue associated with our most potent compounds.

Row 4

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Water Pollution Prevention Act (Japan Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

The medicines are products that are essential for human health; they are highly regulated and when properly administered do not present a danger. The percent of revenue represents the revenue associated with our most potent compounds.

Row 5

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern (UK Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

The medicines are products that are essential for human health; they are highly regulated and when properly administered do not present a danger. The percent of revenue represents the revenue associated with our most potent compounds.

Row 6

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Annex XIV of UK REACH Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

The medicines are products that are essential for human health; they are highly regulated and when properly administered do not present a danger. The percent of revenue represents the revenue associated with our most potent compounds.

Row 7

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Federal Water Pollution Control Act / Clean Water Act (United States Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

The medicines are products that are essential for human health; they are highly regulated and when properly administered do not present a danger. The percent of revenue represents the revenue associated with our most potent compounds.
[Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact

Select from:

☑ Important but not an immediate business priority

(9.14.4) Please explain

Manufacturing pharmaceuticals requires a clean and sterile environment which necessitates the use of water. Presently we do not have any products that are considered low water impact.
[Fixed row]

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

Water pollution

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

While Viatris does not have a water pollution target, we actively monitor and manage our operations to reduce pollution and minimize the environmental impact of our operations. Through the AMR Industry Alliance and PSCI we actively work with our key suppliers to reduce risks and impacts on the environment.

Water withdrawals

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

While Viatris does not have a water withdrawal target, we actively monitor our water use and implement water reduction opportunities where feasible. Our target to increase the number of facilities using zero liquid discharge technologies will reduce the water withdrawal by increasing our ability to reuse water after on site treatment - thereby reducing water withdrawal requirements.

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

While Viatris does not have a Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services target, we strive to ensure adequate facilities at all of our locations.

Other

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Target 1

(9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Conduct Water Risk Assessments at 15 sites located in high and extremely high water stress location by 2025.

(9.15.2.4) Date target was set

06/01/2020

(9.15.2.5) End date of base year

12/31/2020

(9.15.2.6) Base year figure

0

(9.15.2.7) End date of target year

12/31/2025

(9.15.2.8) Target year figure

15

(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

14

(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

93

(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☑ Sustainable Development Goal 6

(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Conduct Water Risk Assessments at 15 sites located in high and extremely high water stress location by 2025.

(9.15.2.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

As of the end of 2023 we have completed water risk assessments at 14 of the 15 sites. The 15th assessment is planned for 2024 keeping us on track to achieve our goal to complete the 15 assessments by the end of 2025.

(9.15.2.16) Further details of target

Six water risk assessments were conducted in 2023.
[Add row]

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

(10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(10.1.3) Please explain

The Pharmaceutical industry is highly regulated requiring long term stability testing and lengthy regulatory approval processes with multiple regulatory agencies; therefore, targets in this area have not been established. Where possible, Viatris looks to expand the use of recyclable materials and reduce the use of plastics. [Fixed row]

(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not Applicable

Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not Applicable

Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not Applicable

Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not Applicable

Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

Plastic packaging is used in the delivery of pharmaceutical products. The pharmaceutical industry is highly regulated therefore, Viatris' ability to change packaging materials would require an extensive testing and approval process that includes approval from multiple regulatory bodies.

Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not Applicable

Provision of waste management and/or water management services

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not Applicable

Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not Applicable

Other activities not specified

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:
☑ No

(10.2.2) Comment

Not Applicable
[Fixed row]

(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content.

Plastic packaging used

(10.5.7) Please explain

We are unable to provide an accurate figure because some materials are purchased on per unit basis. We will investigate this further in the hopes that we can provide a weight-based response in the future.
[Fixed row]

(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.

	Percentages available to report for circularity potential	Please explain
Plastic packaging used	*Select all that apply* ☑ % technically recyclable ☑ % recyclable in practice and at scale	*We are unable to determine the percentages at this time.*

[Fixed row]

(10.6) Provide the total weight of waste generated by the plastic you produce, commercialize, use and/or process and indicate the end-of-life management pathways.

	Please explain
Commercialization of plastic	*Where plastic packaging is used, Viatris endeavors to use recyclable material.*

[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

(11.2.1) Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments

Select from:

☑ Yes, we are taking actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments

(11.2.2) Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Actions taken to minimize the use of Horseshoe crab blood used in testing have been implemented. Viatris is working on the implementation of a new process that will further reduce and potentially eliminate the use of Horseshoe crab blood.

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?	Indicators used to monitor biodiversity performance
	Select from: ☑ Yes, we use indicators	*Select all that apply* ☑ Other, please specify :Reduction in the amount of Horseshoe crab blood used for testing.

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*We do not believe we have operations in this type of area, therefore no assessment has been conducted.*
UNESCO World Heritage sites	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*We do not believe we have operations in this type of area, therefore no assessment has been conducted.*
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*We do not believe we have operations in this type of area, therefore no assessment has been conducted.*
Ramsar sites	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*We do not believe we have operations in this type of area, therefore no assessment has been conducted.*
Key Biodiversity Areas	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*We do not believe we have operations in this type of area, therefore no assessment has been conducted.*
Other areas important for biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*We do not believe we have operations in this type of area, therefore no assessment has been conducted.*

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Water security

☑ Water consumption– total volume

☑ Water discharges– total volumes

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

Water-related standards

☑ Other water verification standard, please specify :Verified against internal processes

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Verification of volume of water consumed and wastewater discharged by Viatris facilities included within the organization's boundary as determined under Viatris Inc's own reporting policy.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

Verification Letter UK.VOS.VOL.INV.0165.2023_Viatris.pdf
[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information	Attachment (optional)
	We are attaching a copy of Viatris' 2023 Sustainability Report.	*Viatris 2023 Sustainability Report.pdf*

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Chief Supply Officer

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☑ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

[Fixed row]